

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated March 30, 2007, the Examiner objected to claims 2 and 20 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, and indicated that claims 2 and 20 would be allowable, if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have amended claims 2 and 20 herein. Claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of original base claim 1, without changing the scope of the previously allowable claim 2. Claim 20 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of original base claim 1 and intervening claim 19, without changing the scope of the previously allowable claim 20.

No new subject matter has been added by these amendments and the change does not alter the finding of patentability. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this amendment be considered and entered in the application and that the application move forward into issuance as soon as possible after the issue fee has been paid.

Respectfully submitted,

November 8, 2007
Date

/Michael J. Fogarty, III/
Michael J. Fogarty, III
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 42,541

SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P.
17950 Preston Rd.
Suite 1000
Dallas, Texas 75252
Tel. 972-732-1001
Fax: 972-732-9218